

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,410	09/10/2003	Erik Lier	040092-020110US	5827
31824	7590 07/29/2005		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			MULL, FRED H	
	ARMAN AVE.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 400			ARTORI	TAILKNOIMER
IRVINE, CA 92612-7107			3662	
			DATE MAIL ED. 07/20/2004	.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		L	1			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/			
	10/660,410	LIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fred H. Mull	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the criod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u> 106 June 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.						
, <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>2,6-10,12-37,39-53</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee priority documents have bee preau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/06/2005</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/660,410 Page 2

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments on p. 12, with regard to various objection(s), have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objections have been withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments on p. 13, with respect to the rejection(s) over Ashe, Hirschfield, Gehy, and Gottl have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection(s) of these claims have been withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments on p. 13, with respect to the rejection(s) of claims 1-3 and 5 over Miller have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that since previous claim 11 was indicated as being allowable, claims 1-3 and 5 are allowable since the subject matter of previous claim 11 has been incorporated into claim 1. However, amended claim 1 does not contain all the limitations of previous claim 11, since these include all the limitations of parent claim 6.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1, 6, and 33 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In the final line of each of the claims, "between" should be changed to --among--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller.

In regard to claim 1, Miller discloses a plurality of antenna elements (A₁-A_N, Fig. 1); and an antenna beamforming system (12); a calibration system (col. 8, line 46 to col. 9, line 17) adapted to calibrate the antenna array in either a transmit mode or a receive mode (col. 10, lines 21-27), the calibration system comprising: a plurality of calibration probes (A₁, A₁₀, A_{N-8}) interleaved with the plurality of antenna elements (Figs. 1 and 2), the calibration probes adapted to be transmit calibration probes or receive calibration probes (col. 10, lines 21-27); a calibration processing system adapted to calibrate the antenna array utilizing the interleaved calibration probes (col. 14, lines 24-28); and a switch for switching among the plurality of calibration probes (col. 9, lines 8-17).

In regard to claim 3, Miller further discloses the antenna beamforming system is adapted to generate one or more beams, and wherein the beamforming system comprises an RF signal path to each element of the antenna array for each of the one or more beams and wherein the calibration system is adapted to calibrate each of the RF signal paths (Fig. 1; col. 9, lines 17-47).

In regard to claim 4, Miller further discloses each signal path comprises a phase shifter, and wherein the calibration system calibrates the phase shifters (col. 9, lines 27-32).

Art Unit: 3662

In regard to claim 5, Miller further discloses each signal path comprises an attenuator, and wherein the calibration system calibrates the attenuators (col. 9, lines 27-32).

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claim(s) 6-10, 12-19, 22-26, 33-37, 39-46, and 49-53 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action.
- Claim(s) 2 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/660,410

Art Unit: 3662

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fred H. Mull whose telephone number is 571-272-6975.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza can be reached on 571-272-6979. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred H. Mull Examiner

Art Unit 3662

fhm

THOMAS H. TARCZA

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Momas A. Farey

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600